Development Agreement

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised | Proposed by |
|---|--|---|---|
| Section 1.8 City / DIA Determinations Section 1.10 / Exhibit C | 2. Private Capital Investment was \$226,800,000 Provided for approximately: | Agreement 1. Updated and expanded COJ and DIA determinations and expressly incorporate them into the agreement. 2. Clarified that minimum developer investment is \$229M Provides for a minimum threshold for | Developer/Administration Amendment Auditor Amendment Developer/Administration |
| Project | 700 spaces of surface parking 400 residential units 700 parking spaces in residential garages 75,000 sq. ft. of retail at Live! 40,000 sq. ft. of office space An upscale hotel with approximately 150 to 250 rooms | project components: Minimum of 600 parking spaces on pond Minimum of 350 residential units Minimum of 600 parking spaces in residential garages Minimum of 75k sq ft retail at Live! Minimum of 35k sq ft of office at Live! | Amendment at auditor's suggestion of including required minimums (minimums determined by the Developer) Recommendation: Revise the number of hotel rooms to a minimum of 120 rooms to mirror Exhibit C (Developer agrees). |
| Section 1.12 Developer Obligations | City obligated to make disbursements that are conditioned upon the timely and faithful performance by the Guarantors of their respective obligations under each Completion Guaranty, but if there is a default the City could withhold any disbursements. | The language was struck from this section | Developer/Administration Amendment Recommendations: 1. Include language that was struck regarding City disbursements. 2. This section still allows the Completion Guaranty to be terminated by any Guarantor for any reason other than Substantial Completion of any |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised Agreement | Proposed by |
|------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | Component or an Event of Default by the City. We recommend the language be amended to only allow termination for completion or default. |
| Article II Definitions | | | |
| Budget | COJ did not have approval of budget changes to Live! or infrastructure budgets | City Representative has approval over changes in excess of 10% to line items in Live! and infrastructure budgets | Developer/Administration Amendment at auditor's suggestion for approval of changes in project (Developer determined percentage) |
| Completion Guaranty | | Confirms that guarantee is for lien-free substantial completion of the whole project Names the Guarantor for the Hotel Component Provides for the Completion Guaranty to be executed and delivered prior to the Commencement of Construction on the Horizontal Infrastructure Strikes requirement of prior written consent of City to assign Completion Guaranty to substitute Guarantor | 1. Developer/Administration Amendment 2. Auditor Amendment 3. Developer/Administration Amendment Recommendation: Add back language that was struck regarding prior consent of City referenced in bullet #4 |
| Developer Improvement | Included an election by the Developer that a parking garage may constitute a Developer Improvement or an Infrastructure Improvement | The election has been removed | Auditor Amendment |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised Agreement | Proposed by |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Guarantors | City approval of substitute guarantors required | Identifies specific guarantor entities. Cordish has the right to replace guarantor with a member of the Cordish family without City consent. Gecko has the right to replace guarantor with a substitute guarantor that has a net worth of at least \$229 million with City consent. | Auditor Amendment Developer/Administration Amendment Developer/Administration Amendment Recommendations: We recommend the Cordish substitute guarantor require City consent and have a defined net worth requirement. We recommend the combined net worth of the guarantors equal the necessary developer investment required to complete the project. |
| Infrastructure Improvements | | Includes improvement to other parking lots owned by the City in the Sports and Entertainment Complex. | Developer/Administration Amendment Recommendation: We recommend that improvements to other surface lots not be included as part of the Infrastructure Improvements. |
| Project Costs | | Costs related to development of adjacent property was struck Costs related to existing buildings and fixtures was struck Added language that Project Costs also include improvements made | Auditor Amendment Auditor Amendment Developer/Administration Amendment |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised | Proposed by |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| | | Agreement | |
| | | to the parking lots located in the | Recommendation: |
| | | Entertainment District | As mentioned above, we recommend |
| | | | that improvements to other surface |
| | | | lots not be included as part of the |
| | | | Project Costs. |
| Substantial Completion | | Clarifies that a temporary certificate of | Developer/Administration |
| | | occupancy is required for hotel, | Amendment |
| | | residential and Live! (but not for | |
| | | infrastructure) to be deemed | |
| | | substantially complete | |
| Vertical Infrastructure | Provided that the Developer | Removes ability to Developer to add | Developer/Administration |
| | could elect one or more | additional parking garages as vertical | Amendment |
| | additional parking garages | infrastructure improvements | |
| | may constitute a Vertical | | |
| | <mark>Improvement</mark> | | |
| Section 3.1 | | Clarifies that City will retain title to the | Auditor Amendment |
| Exclusive Master Developer | | stormwater detention pond parking lot | |
| with City | | and retains any future development | |
| | | rights thereon | |
| Section 3.3 | | Clarifies that any a Material | Auditor Amendment |
| Amendment to Master | | Modification must comply with all | |
| Development Plan | | regulatory and governmental | |
| | | approvals, including any DDRB | |
| | | approvals | |
| Section 5.1 | | The reversion rights of the | Developer/Administration |
| Development Entitlements | | Development Rights have been | <u>Amendment</u> |
| | | extended from 8 to 10 years | |
| | | | Recommendation: |
| | | | The reversion rights of the |
| | | | Development Rights should be 8 |
| | | | years as approved by the DIA Board |
| | | | (Developer agrees). |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised Agreement | Proposed by |
|---|--|--|--|
| Section 5.3(e), (f), and (g) - new City Obligations in Project Area | | Clarifies City's approval rights over Condominium documents and any amendments. Prohibits Developer from converting any of the residential from rental to ownership. (COJ will not be in a position where its condo rights are subject to the rights of residential condo unit holders.) Adds language that states the City will share in normal assessments for common expenses of the common elements of the Mixed-Use Component at 0.10%. | Developer/Administration Amendment Developer/Administration Amendment Developer/Administration Amendment Recommendation: We recommend that the City not share in the normal assessments for common elements of the Mixed-Use Component. |
| Section 5.3(g) - Old City Obligations in Project Area | City required to use commercially reasonable efforts to terminate the antenna easement | Covenant has been deleted from agreement | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 5.4 Developer Right of Access | | | Recommendation: The language regarding the performance bonds for the general contractor should be struck. This language has been included in Exhibit L Insurance and Bonding Requirements (Developer agrees) |
| Section 6.2 | | Clarified to note that regulatory | Developer/Administration |
| Notices to Proceed | | approvals include DDRB approvals | Amendment |
| Section 6.3 Survey | | Clarifies that Developer is obligated to obtain survey at its sole cost and expense. COJ has right to approve legal description. | Developer/Administration Amendment |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised Agreement | Proposed by |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| Section 6.4 | | Developer is required to obtain | Developer/Administration |
| Title Insurance | | owner's title policy. | Amendment |
| Section 6.5 | | Developer is required to provide City a | Developer/Administration |
| Defect in Title | | notice of any title defects. City is to | Amendment |
| | | provide notice of defects it elects to | |
| | | cure, if any. If title defects cannot be | |
| | | cured, then the Developer can | |
| | | terminate the agreement or waive title defects | |
| Section 6.6 | | Developer agrees to take title as is, | Developer/Administration |
| Interests Conveyed | | where-is and with-all-faults | Amendment |
| Section 7.2 | Could be used on additional | Savings will be deposited into Facility | Developer/Administration |
| Use of City Funds | scope for infrastructure or in | Capital Fund to support Live! | Amendment |
| | other City-owned assets | maintenance obligations and preserve | |
| | | its value | Recommendation: |
| | | | We recommend that the City retain |
| | | | the savings from the Infrastructure |
| | | | Improvements. The Developer is |
| | | | responsible for Live! capital |
| | | | expenses. |
| Section 8.3 | Payments were to be | Payments will now be disbursed on the | Developer/Administration |
| Disbursement of City Fund for Non-Public Costs | disbursed based on actual Direct Costs incurred. | percentage of completion achieved. | Amendment |
| Tor North abile costs | Direct costs incurred. | | Recommendation: |
| | | | We recommend that payments be |
| | | | based on actual Direct Costs |
| | | | incurred. |
| Section 8.4 | | 1. Appoints construction inspector as | Developer/Administration |
| Pari Passu and Pro Rata | | person responsible for monitoring | Amendment |
| Disbursements | | disbursement process to ensure | 2. Developer/Administration |
| | | pari passu nature (that City and | Amendment |
| | | Developer dollars are going in | |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised Agreement | Proposed by |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---|
| | 2. Disbursement of City Funds for the Mixed-Use Component and Hotel Component were expended on a pro rata basis based on work performed and invoiced basis. | lockstep to the maximum extent possible) 2. Disbursement of City Funds for the Mixed-Use and Hotel Components are expended on a pro rata basis based on the percentage of completion. | Recommendations: 1. Disbursement of City Funds for the Mixed-Use and Hotel Components should be on a work performed and invoiced basis. 2. Language should be added stating the pro rata percentage will be provided to the City at Closing. |
| Section 8.7 | Could be used on various | Can only be used for the Mixed-Use | Developer/Administration |
| Loan Proceeds | project components | and Hotel Components | Amendment (Auditor Amendment) |
| Section 8.8 | | | Recommendation: |
| Cost Overruns | | | Language should be added to clarify the Additional City Infrastructure Contribution can only be utilized in accordance with Section 11.2 (Developer agrees). |
| Section 8.9(a) Cost Savings | | Language was added stating that cost savings for the Infrastructure Improvements be deposited into the | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| | | Facility Capital Fund | Recommendation: 1. We recommend that the City retain the savings from the Infrastructure Improvements. The Developer is responsible for Live! capital expenses. 2. Clarify that Verified Direct Costs do not include the 7.5% of Developer expense (Developer Agrees) |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised | Proposed by |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | Agreement | |
| Section 8.9(b) | | | Recommendation: Clarify that Direct Costs do not |
| | | | include the 7.5% of Developer |
| | | | expense (Developer Agrees) |
| Section 8.9(c) Cost Savings | Included residential parking garages as part of the | Excludes residential parking garages | Auditor Amendment Developer/Administration |
| Cost Savings | Minimum Developer | 2. Includes costs of tenant | Amendment |
| | Investment | improvements incurred by third party tenants or subtenants | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| | | 3. The discount rate of the Hotel Completion Grant is increased | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| | | from 3.5% to 6.75% 4. In the event there is a Shortfall, | 5. Developer/Administration Amendment |
| | | and the REV grant is terminated then the full \$12.5 million will still | 6. Developer/Administration Amendment |
| | | be applied as a credit for the Developer against the shortfall. | Recommendations: |
| | | At the election of the Developer, the Shortfall can be deposited into | The Minimum Developer Investment should not include |
| | | the Facility Capital Fund 6. Clarifies that any reconciliation is | the 7.5% Developer expense.2. Costs of tenant improvements |
| | | subject to resolution of contractor litigation | should not be included as part of the Minimum Developer Investment. |
| | | | 3. Return the discount rate of the Hotel Grant to the original value |
| | | | of 3.5%. 4. If the REV Grant is terminated |
| | | | the full amount should not be |
| | | | applied as a credit for the Developer in the reconciliation. |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised Agreement | Proposed by |
|----------------------------|--------------------|---|--|
| | | | 5. The Developer should not have the option to pay any Shortfall, but rather should be a requirement. 6. The Developer should not determine how the Shortfall is paid or deposited to the City. 7. Remove the Shortfall payment option to the Facility Capital Fund because the Developer is already responsible for future maintenance needs. |
| Section 8.9 (d) | | Clarifies that any reconciliation is | Developer/Administration |
| Cost Savings | | subject to resolution of contractor | Amendment |
| | | <mark>litigation</mark> | |
| Section 9.8(a) | | Language was added that provides | Developer/Administration |
| Warranty and Guarantee of | | that the Developer shall have the right | Amendment |
| Infrastructure | | to enforce any rights or warranties | |
| Improvements and Live! | | with respect to the Infrastructure | |
| Component | | Improvements and Live! Component | |
| | | and collaterally assign such rights or | |
| | | warranties to any lender. | |
| Section 10.1 | | Language was added stating that | Developer/Administration |
| City Loan Documents | | following repayment in full of all notes | Amendment |
| | | and other costs and expenses for the | |
| | | City Loan Program the Developer will | |
| | | deposit any and all funds remaining in | |
| | | the Trust into the Facility Capital Fund. | |
| Section 10.2 | | 1. Developer agrees to provide legal | Developer/Administration |
| Conditions to Disbursement | | opinions regarding the developer | Amendment |
| Under City Loan Program | | members and to other covenants | |
| | | regarding the existence and | |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised Agreement | Proposed by |
|---|---|--|--|
| | | governance of such developer members. 2. The City shall be entitled to recover from the Developer expenses incurred in connection with enforcement of City Loan Documents and expenses incurred in connection with the enforcement of the Developer Members to make the required payments into the City Defeasance Trust. | |
| Section 11.2 Reimbursement for Improvements | Additional \$15.1 would be available for a parking garage above the pond. Developer would match any COJ funds used to construct garage. | Clarifies that the \$15.1M is only for cost overruns if needed due to environmental conditions, subsurface conditions, requirements with respect to building the parking lot on the pond, and all such infrastructure work must be within the project. | Developer/Administration Amendment Recommendation: Language concerning the Developer electing to use City Funds to fund construction of a Parking Garage should be struck (Developer agrees). |
| Section 11.3 Parking Garages | | Strikes language granting Developer the right to build a garage above the pond parking. | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 12.2 Parking Facility Operation | Pricing for parking in residential garages will be consistent with rates downtown | Clarifies that Developer will not get a management fee for managing the residential parking garages Pricing for parking in residential garages will be no lower than average in downtown Developer commits to no less than 200 Public Spaces in the residential parking garages. | Developer/Administration Amendment Developer/Administration Amendment Developer/Administration Amendment |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised Agreement | Proposed by |
|---|---|---|---|
| Section 12.3 Resident Parking | | The revenue from Public Spaces in the Residential Garages will be retained by the City. | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 12.5 Valet Program | Lots M, N and P or any adjacent lots not otherwise in use will be used for Valet Program. | 400 spaces within Lots C and D will be used for the Valet Program. | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 12.8 Hotel Parking | | Clarifies that hotel guests will use the Surface Parking Lot and/or Lots M, N, and P | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 13.4 Waiver of Procurement Requirements | | Confirms that any public art within the project will be procured consistent with the requirements in the ordinance code | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 13.7 Obligation to Commence Project; Development Schedule | Developer agreed to: Apply for permits for environmental within 24 months of Effective Date of agreement. Developer maximum timeline to complete project could be as long as approximately 12 years. Hotel component had an additional 2 years for completion after rest of project. | Developer agrees to: 1. Commence remediation within 6 months of Effective Date of agreement 2. Apply for Regulatory Approval within 18 months of a Site Rehabilitation Completion Order (SRCO) 3. Complete construction within 36 months of receipt of all permits 4. Developer estimated timeline to complete project is approximately 7 years; however, there is no deadline for completion. 5. Hotel will be completed on same timeline as rest of project components | Developer/Administration Amendment based on discussions with Auditors Recommendation:? |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised Agreement | Proposed by |
|---|--|--|--|
| | | A failure of the Developer to cause Substantial Completion of the Hotel Component shall not be deemed a default as to the other Components. The City has the right to enforce the Guarantors' obligations under the Completion Guaranty. | |
| Section 14.6 Mixed-Use Component Minimum Investment | Minimum investment of \$95M is based on private funding | Minimum investment of \$95M is based on Direct Costs | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| | | | Recommendation: Revise language to base minimum investment on private funding (Developer agrees) |
| Section 16.4 Permitted Disposition to Tenants | | Allows the Developer to enter into leases or other contractual agreements with tenants for parts of the development without the City's consent. However, leases or other contractual agreements with tenants for Live! will be governed by the Live! Lease. | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 16.9 Limitation on Conveyance of Components | No limit on Developer right to transfer after completion | Developer agrees not to transfer Hotel or Mixed-Use Components for 5 years after substantial completion Allows the Developer to transfer development rights to the Hotel Component to a hotel developer if a hotel developer requires ownership of the Hotel Component. | Auditor Amendment Recommendations: 1. The City should share in any profits realized by the Developer from the transfer of development rights to the Hotel. 2. The language should be revised to require the owner of the Hotel conveyance land to comply with |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised Agreement | Proposed by |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | | | the requirement not to transfer the property for 5 years after substantial completion (Developer agrees). |
| Section 17.6 | | At the request of the Developer a | Developer/Administration |
| Component Development | | separate development agreement for | Amendment |
| Agreement Agreement | | the Mixed-Use Component or Hotel | |
| | | Component with the same provisions | |
| | | can be executed. Also, an amendment | |
| | | to this agreement can be made to | |
| | | remove the component and the city | |
| | | funds allocated to such component | |
| Carlling 40.4 | | from this agreement. | A Planck and the state of the s |
| Section 19.4 | | Clarifies that with respect to any delay caused by the current pandemic, a | Auditor Amendment |
| Force Majeure | | party must show evidence the delay | |
| | | was actually directly caused by the | |
| | | pandemic. | |
| Section 19.14 | | This agreement shall not be recorded | Developer/Administration |
| No Recording | | or filed in the public land or other | Amendment |
| | | records of any jurisdiction. As part of | |
| | | the Closing Documentation the parties | |
| | | shall execute a Memorandum of | |
| | | Agreement. | |
| Section 19.22 | Inspection of records and | Clarified to include documentation for | Auditor Amendment |
| Retention of Records; Audit | audit was limited to the | the Project | |
| | Infrastructure Improvements | | |
| Exhibit E | | | Recommendation: |
| Uses of City Funds for | | | We recommend a corrected Exhibit E |
| <u>Project</u> | | | be attached to the Development |
| | | | Agreement. |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised | Proposed by |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | | Agreement | |
| Exhibit H | | Vertical Infrastructure Improvements | Developer/Administration |
| <mark>Infrastructure</mark> | | now include acquisition and | Amendment |
| Improvements | | installation of any gating, barriers, or | |
| | | structures to facilitate the collection of | Recommendation: |
| | | parking revenues on parking lots | As mentioned previously, we |
| | | subject to the Parking Agreement | recommend that improvements to |
| | | | other surface lots not be included as |
| | | | part of the Project Costs. If surface |
| | | | lot improvements remain in the |
| | | | Project, we recommend the |
| | | | definitions of Vertical and Horizontal |
| | | | Infrastructure Improvements mirror |
| | | | Exhibit H. |

Summary of Material Changes in Guaranty of Completion

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised Agreement | Proposed By |
|---|--------------------|--|--|
| Title Guarantors | | Identified the specific guarantor entities (LLC for Cordish and corporation for Gecko) | Auditor Amendment |
| 2nd Whereas Timing of Delivery | | Clarified guaranty is to be delivered to COJ immediately prior to construction of horizonal infrastructure | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 2 Scope | | Confirmed that guarantor obligations include payment of all cost overruns and deposit into breadbox trust Confirmed COJ's obligation to disburse funds is conditioned upon guarantor's compliance with terms of development agreement | Developer/Administration Amendment Developer/Administration Amendment Recommendation: Due to the provisions in the development agreement that allow for Material Modifications to the project, we recommend language be added to the Guaranty to specify exactly what the Guarantors are guaranteeing will be constructed. |
| Section 4 Remedies | | Expressly permits COJ to require specific | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 7 Insurance | | Provides that if a guarantor receives payment of insurance in respect of any guaranteed obligations prior to performance of the guaranteed obligations, the amount will be held in trust for benefit of the COJ Subordinates any debt between Developer and Guarantor to the guaranteed obligations | Developer/Administration Amendment Developer/Administration Amendment |

Summary of Material Changes in Guaranty of Completion

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised | Proposed By |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|
| | | Agreement | |
| Section 11 | | Provides that any Gecko substitute guarantor | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Assignment to | | has to have a minimum then current net | |
| Substitute | | worth of \$229M and any Cordish substitute | Recommendation: |
| Guarantor | | guarantor has to be owned or controlled by a member of the Cordish family | We recommend that both Cordish and any Cordish substitute guarantor be required to have a defined minimum net worth. |
| Section 14a Financial | | Confirms that evidence of Gecko guarantor value was delivered to COJ | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Information | | | Recommendation: |
| | | | Additional evidence of Gecko guarantor |
| | | | should be provided to demonstrate net |
| | | | worth requirement has been met |
| Section 14b | | Adds in representations and warranties from | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Representation and | | the Cordish guarantors to COJ | |
| Warranties | | | Recommendation: |
| | | | We recommend the Cordish guarantor be |
| | | | required to provide financial information |
| | | | in the same manner as the Gecko |
| | | | guarantor |
| Section 15 | Was only required to | Evidence of value for the Gecko Guarantor | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Delivery of evidence | provide evidence of net | will be delivered at several stages: prior to | |
| of value | worth prior to execution | development agreement execution, prior to | Recommendations: |
| | of development | commencement of construction for the Live! | 1. We recommend the Cordish |
| | agreement | Component and commencement of | guarantor be required to provide |
| | | construction for the Mixed-Use Component, | financial information at the same |
| | | and prior to commencement of construction | intervals as the Khan guarantor |
| | | of the Hotel Component. This will help ensure | 2. There is inconsistency between the |
| | | guarantor maintains certain value and is able | Guaranty and Development |
| | | to perform the guaranteed obligations. | Agreement regarding the date the |
| | | | Completion Guaranty is delivered to |
| | | | the City initially. The Development |

Summary of Material Changes in Guaranty of Completion

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised Agreement | Proposed By |
|---|---|---|--|
| | | | Agreement states the Guaranty will be delivered prior to the commencement of the Horizontal Infrastructure Improvements. We recommend the language in the Guaranty mirror the language in the Development Agreement. 3. Require evidence of financial capacity be provided at regular intervals until project completion. |
| Section 22 Termination | | The Completion Guaranty will be reduced as Components are substantially completed. Upon substantial completion of the Project, the City will mark the Guaranty "cancelled". | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 27 Attorney's Fees | The party prevailing in a suit or proceeding shall be reimbursed for all reasonable attorney's fees | The City and Guarantors will each bear their own attorney's fees and costs. | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 30 City authority to change documents | | Guarantors authorize COJ, without notice to the guarantors, to approve modifications to the plans and specs and to the development agreement | Developer/Administration Amendment |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised Agreement | Proposed By |
|---|---|---|--|
| 2 nd Whereas Clause Section 2 Definitions | Provided for approximately 75,000 sq. ft. of retail/ commercial space and 40,000 sq. ft. of office space | Provides for a minimum of 75,000 sq. ft. of retail and commercial spaces and a minimum of 35,000 sq. ft. of office space | Developer/Administration Amendment at auditor's suggestion of including required minimums (Developer selected the values) |
| Ancillary LED Screens | | One or more LED Screens constructed as | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Animaly ELD Selection | | Infrastructure Improvements and may located within or outside the Facility Premises | Beveloper///ammistration//inchament |
| Facility Event | | Added language stating that customer cover charges for entry shall not be deemed an advance ticket sale. A Landlord Event is deemed a Facility Event. | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Facility Standard of | Defined as keeping the | Updated to mean keeping the facility in | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Care | facility in First Class condition consistent with comparable facilities | good condition consistent with comparable facilities (other Live venues) | |
| Florida-Georgia Facility Events | | Defined as Facility Events held on the two days before and/or the day of the | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| | | annual Florida-Georgia Game. | Recommendation: |
| | | | The definition needs to be clarified as to |
| | | | the "and/or". The Developer has indicated this should be changed to "and". |
| Qualified Transferee | Must be an NFL team owner, or have 5 or more years of experience operating similar facilities, or a net worth of \$250M | 1. Must be an NFL team owner, have 5 or more years of experience operating similar facilities, regional shopping centers or urban mixed-use projects, and have a net worth of \$100M. | Developer/Administration Amendment Developer/Administration Amendment Developer/Administration Amendment |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised | Proposed By |
|---------------------------|--|---|---|
| | | Agreement | |
| | | Clarifies that a written certification as certified by the chief financial officer or authorized officer can be used to demonstrate net worth. Provides that net worth increases by CPI measured from the commencement date compared to the contemplated date of transfer | |
| Section 5 | 1. The initial term was 35 | The initial term is 50 years with two | Developer/Administration |
| Lease Term | years with four 10-year renewal options Tenant can exercise renewal options 180 days prior to the termination of the Lease Term Tenant could not exercise the third and fourth renewal option unless occupancy was at 85% and the facility is in compliance with the Facility Standard of Care | The littlat term is 30 years with two 10-year renewal options Tenant can exercise renewal options 180 days but no more than 5 years prior to the expiration of the thencurrent lease term Provides that occupancy must be 75% to renew. Provides that the Renewal Term shall be on the same terms and conditions as set forth in the Lease. | Amendment Developer/Administration Amendment Developer/Administration Amendment Recommendation: We recommend that performance requirements, such as certain sales targets or occupancy requirements, be included throughout the term of the lease. |
| Section 9(b) | COJ had right to use the Live! | COJ now has the right to retain 50% of | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Operator Benefits | facility on the day before and the day of the Florida-Georgia game | net ticket revenue (revenues less all costs) generated from events the two days before and the day of the Florida-Georgia Game. | |
| Section 10 LED Screens | All LED screens to be paid for by COJ | All "ancillary" LED screens will be paid for by COJ as part of the Infrastructure Improvements and main facility screen will be | Developer/Administration Amendment Developer/Administration Amendment |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised Agreement | Proposed By |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | constructed as part of the Live! Component. 2. Developer is responsible for repair and maintenance of the LED screens at Developer's cost. 3. Developer will operate all screens. Developer will give COJ 10% of the time on the ancillary LED screens to promote COJ events, the city and downtown, and public service announcements. This is consistent with the video board outside Daily's Place. 4. COJ has the right to use the main facility screen during Landlord Events at Live! | 3. Developer/Administration Amendment 4. Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 11 Landlord Use of Facility | Neither City or Landlord had the right to charge for admission to Landlord Events | City will have the right charge for admission to any City Event and retain the revenues. Clarifies that City is responsible for all costs in connection with its use of Live! | Developer/Administration Amendment Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 12 Capital Projects | Developer may make capital improvements to Live! at its sole cost. | Developer may make capital improvements at its sole cost and for any improvements in excess of \$5M, Developer will provide notice to COJ. | Developer/Administration Amendment Recommendation: The City should approve all improvements greater than \$5M to the Live! Component rather than just receive notification. |
| Section 14 Indemnity | | Clarifies that Developer will defend COJ in any litigation and reimburse COJ for | Developer/Administration Amendment |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised Agreement | Proposed By |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | any costs incurred by it relating to such litigation | |
| Section 15 Insurance | | Developer agrees to maintain, at its cost, all-risk insurance and attaches exhibit for insurance requirements | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 16 Destruction of Facility | Developer retained 100% of insurance proceeds payable to Developer in the event of casualty | Developer and COJ split any insurance proceeds 50/50 in the event of casualty | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 19 Assignment | | Developer agrees not to transfer Live! for 5 years (Transfer Prohibition Period) Transfers permitted without the consent of the City Representative now include a. Sublease for a portion of the Facility b. To any Person that acquires Tenant provided such assignee assumes all liabilities and obligations, has five or more years of experience owning or operating complexes similar to the Facility and had a net worth of at least \$100M | Auditor Amendment Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 21 Default | Developer required to diligently cure within a reasonable time | Developer required to diligently and continuously cure within no more than 365 days | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 25 Construction Liens | | In no event shall the interest of the City be subject to the liens for improvements made by Developer | Developer/Administration Amendment |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised | Proposed By |
|-------------------|--------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | | Agreement | |
| Section 27 | | Language has been conformed to force | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Force Majeure | | majeure in all related documents. | |
| | | Clarifies that with respect to any delay | |
| | | caused by the current pandemic, a party | |
| | | has to show evidence the delay was | |
| | | actually directly caused by the pandemic. | |
| Section 29 | | Requires Developer not bring any | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Environmental | | hazardous materials on site and to | |
| Requirements | | remove all hazardous materials at its | |
| | | cost. Developer indemnifies COJ for any | |
| | | losses if caused by Developer's use or | |
| | | disposal of hazardous materials. COJ has | |
| | | right to inspect premises to confirm | |
| | | Developer's compliance with | |
| | | environmental requirements. | |
| Section 30(q) | | Each party shall be solely responsible for | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Attorney's Fees | | its own attorneys' fees and expenses. If | |
| | | COJ brings suit for the termination of the | |
| | | lease and is successful, Developer shall | |
| | | reimburse COJ for all reasonable | |
| | | attorneys' fees. | |
| Section 30(r) | | Developer agrees to provide annual list | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Rent Roll | | of subtenants to COJ | |
| Section 30(s)-(w) | | Added language regarding brokers, no | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Boilerplate | | partnership, no merger, and recordation | |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised | Proposed By |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | | Agreement | |
| Recital D | Includes Surface Parking Lot, | Parking facilities now include Lots C and D | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Parking Facilities | Residential Parking Garages, | | |
| | and Lots M, N and P | | |
| Section 1.1(e) | Provided for employee parking | Provides for employee parking in Lots C and | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Employee | in the surface and/or structure | D, which is further from the project and also | |
| Parking Area | parking area (Surface Parking | further from the ballpark and arena, thereby | |
| | Lot and Lots M, N, and P). | freeing up spaces closer to the ballpark and | |
| | | arena for patrons to those events. | |
| | Provided for 750 employee | | |
| | spaces | Provides for 500 employee spaces. | |
| | | | |
| | | Allows COJ to provide alternative parking | |
| | | that is a comparable distance as Lots C and | |
| | | D | |
| Section 1.1(k) | Provided for hotel parking in | Clarifies that parking for the hotel will be the | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Hotel Parking | the surface parking areas | pond parking lot or on Lots M, N or P | |
| Area | | | |
| Section 1.1(r) | | Defines Maintenance Costs as all costs for | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Maintenance | | the maintenance or repair of the Surface | |
| Costs | | Parking Areas or Residential Parking Garages | |
| | | to keep in good operating condition | |
| | | comparable to other parking facilities | |
| | | Downtown | |
| Section 1.1(s) | | Defines Major District Event as any event or | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Major District | | events being held within the Sports and | |
| Event | | Entertainment Complex that will utilize a | |
| | | City venue in which more than 25,000 | |
| | | people are expected to attend | |
| | | people and expedited to attend | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised | Proposed By |
|------------------|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Section 1.1(t) | | Agreement Defines Minor District Event as any event or | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Minor District | | events being held within the Sports and | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Event | | Entertainment Complex that will utilize a | |
| Lvenc | | City venue in which fewer than 25,000 | |
| | | people are expected to attend | |
| Section 1.1(y) | | Operating Costs means all costs of operating | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Operating Costs | | the Surface Parking Areas or Residential | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Operating costs | | Parking Garages in the ordinary course of | |
| | | business (including utilities, staff, cleaning, | |
| | | taxes, governmental charges, and | |
| | | insurance). Operating Costs shall not include | |
| | | any Maintenance Costs | |
| Section 1.1 (cc) | | Provides for a minimum of 200 spaces for | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Public Spaces in | | daily transient parking in the residential | |
| Residential | | garages | |
| Parking Garages | | | |
| Section 1.1 (II) | Provided for valet parking on | Provides that valet parking can be on Lots C | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Valet Parking | the Surface Parking Lot, Lots M, | and D (further from arena and ballpark) | • • |
| Area | N, and P, and any adjacent | , , | |
| | parking lot owned by the City | Provides for 400 valet spaces | |
| | not otherwise in use. | · | |
| | | Allows COJ to provide alternative parking | |
| | Provided for 750 valet spaces | that is a comparable distance to Lots C and D | |
| Section 3.1 | | Provides that COJ and Developer will | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Grant of Parking | | cooperate in good faith to adopt practices, | |
| Rights | | policies and procedures that ensure that | |
| | | parking spaces on the land serve the needs | |
| | | of the project | |
| Section 3.3 | | Clarifies that public spaces in residential | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Parking for | | garages will be available at all times for the | |
| Residents | | parking of Customers (i.e. not employees, | |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised | Proposed By |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | | Agreement | |
| | | hotel guests or residents), other than for | |
| | | major (25k+) or minor (-25k) district events | |
| | | | |
| | | Requires Developer to cause the Residential | |
| | | Parking Operator to use efforts to separate | |
| | | the public spaces in the residential parking | |
| | | garages to ensure availability for Customers | |
| | | | |
| | | Confirms that City retains all revenue from | |
| | | Customers in the public spaces in the | |
| | | residential parking garages, subject to | |
| | | validation | |
| Section 3.6 | | Provides for employees to park at no charge | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Employee | | within the Employee Parking Area | |
| Parking | | | |
| Section 3.7 | | Provides for Developer and COJ to agree on | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Ride Share | | a designated area for ride-share parking at | |
| Parking | | no cost | |
| Section 3.11 | | During events that are expected to attract | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Minor and Major | | fewer than 25,000 patrons, Developer's | |
| District Events | | right to offer validated parking is limited to | |
| | | available spaces in the residential garages, | Recommendation: |
| | | pond parking and Lot M. | Additional clarification is needed |
| | | | regarding the Developer's ability to use |
| | | During events that are expected to attract | the Residential Garage Public Spaces |
| | | more than 25,000 patrons, Developer's right | and Surface Parking Lot for |
| | | to offer validated parking is limited to 400 | complimentary or discounted validated |
| | | spaces that are in the residential garages, | parking during Major and Minor District |
| | | pond parking or Lots C and D. COJ can also | Events. This will limit the parking |
| | | modify employee parking area during major | revenue retained by the City. |
| | | events. | |
| | | | |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised | Proposed By |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | Agreement | |
| | | During minor or major events, Developer | |
| | | agrees that validated parking can only be | |
| | | offered to hotel guests or customers who | |
| | | purchase goods or services at the project | |
| | | with a value that equals or exceeds the | |
| | | standard event charge for parking for the | |
| | | event(s) | |
| Section 4.1 | Specifies that City shall engage | Designates ASM as the parking manager of | Auditor Amendment |
| Management of | the Parking Operator to | the surface parking lot | |
| Surface Parking | manage the surface parking | | |
| Areas | <mark>areas</mark> | | |
| Section 4.2 | Developer managed the | COJ and the residential parking operator will | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Management of | residential parking garages | cooperate to determine parking rates and | |
| Residential | | policies in the residential garages | |
| Parking Garages | Parking rates were at "market | | |
| | rates" | Gives COJ the right to approve the | |
| | | management agreement for the residential | |
| | Specifically named the City | parking garages | |
| | Council Auditors as being able | | |
| | to review/audit the books, | Strikes language that parking operator will | |
| | records, and documents of the | be paid a market rate fee | |
| | Parking Operator | | Recommendation: |
| | | City Council Auditors was specifically | Provide City Council Auditors with audit |
| | | removed from the language concerning any | rights to review/audit the Parking |
| | | review/audit of the Parking Operators | Operator's books, records, and |
| | | books, records, and documents | documents. |
| Section 4.3 | Specifies the City shall retain all | Adds language that the City retains all of the | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Parking | revenues except as otherwise | Residential Garage Public Spaces revenue. | |
| Revenues | expressly provided in the | | Recommendation: |
| | agreement | | The language in this section should |
| | | | mirror that of Section 12.6 of the |
| | | | Development Agreement. |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised Agreement | Proposed By |
|--|--|--|--|
| Section 4.4 Operation, Maintenance and Repair of Surface Parking Areas | | Clarifies that the City is responsible for all operating costs and all maintenance costs relating to the surface parking areas. | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 4.5 Operation, Maintenance and Repair of Residential Parking Garages | COJ paid all maintenance and operating expenses relating the garages | COJ and the Developer share equally in operating costs relating to the garages pursuant to a budget prepared by Developer. The operating budget is subject to City Representative approval. City is responsible for all maintenance costs of the residential parking garages. All operating costs will be paid by Owner and the City will reimburse the Owner for 50% of all approved operating costs. | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 4.8 Casualty and Condemnation | | City will repair the surface parking areas in the event of any casualty or condemnation, consistent with its obligations for other parking around the sports complex. | Recommendation: Language should be added to clarify the cost of casualty insurance for the Residential Parking Garages will be shared on a 50/50 basis between the City and Developer. |
| Section 5.1 Insurance | | Provides that Developer will carry insurance to cover its activities relating to the surface parking lot, valet parking, employee parking, use of the garages and the validation program | Developer/Administration Amendment |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised | Proposed By |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| | | Agreement | |
| | | COJ will carry insurance relating to the | |
| | | surface parking areas and the residential | |
| | | garages (the cost of insurance in respect to | |
| | | the residential parking garages will be an | |
| | | operating expense and half will be paid by | |
| | | Developer) | |
| Section 5.7 | | Expands Developer indemnification | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Indemnification | | obligations to include any accident relating | |
| | | to use of the parking (including in the | |
| | | residential garage or valet) and Developer's | |
| | | negligence | |
| Section 6.1 | | Limits Developer's right to assign to an | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Assignment | | owner of a component | |
| Section 6.5 | | Clarifies that with respect to any delay | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Force Majeure | | caused by the current pandemic, a party has | |
| | | to show evidence the delay was actually | |
| | | directly caused by the pandemic. | |
| Section 6.16 | | Provides for a timeline to cure any default | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Enforcement | | | |
| Section 6.22 | Termination of the parking | Clarifies neither party may cancel, rescind or | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Termination | agreement is not a remedy | otherwise terminate its obligations under | |
| | available to the City if | the parking agreement because of the other | Recommendation: |
| | Developer breaches parking | party's breach | Language should be added to protect |
| | <mark>agreement</mark> | | both parties in the event of breach of |
| | | | contract. |

Air Rights Easement

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised Agreement | Proposed by |
|--|--------------------|---|---|
| Section 3 Grant of Easement | | Strikes provision that if elevated pedestrian walkway is removed and not replaced within 360 days, the easement automatically terminates | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 4 Additional Improvements | | Confirmed that Developer may make additional improvements to the pedestrian walkway at its cost. Any improvements in excess of \$750,000 (increasing at an annual rate of 2%) will require advance notice from Developer to COJ. Confirmed any such additional improvements will be at no cost to the City. | Recommendation: The City should be made aware of all improvements to City-owned property that require the City to capitalize the asset. |
| Section 5 Maintenance of Improvements | | Maintenance, repair, and replacement costs are all Developer responsibilities | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 6 Maintenance of Grantor Property | | If improvements cause damage to City property, damage will be repaired at Developer's sole cost and expense | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 7 Indemnification | | Express obligation by Developer to indemnify COJ for any losses relating to the use of the walkway, any negligence by the Developer, any breach by the Developer or construction of the walkway | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 8 Insurance | | Requires Developer to purchase insurance at its cost and expense for the sole benefit of COJ | Developer/Administration Amendment |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised | Proposed by |
|-----------------|--------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| | | Agreement | |
| Section 9 | | Permits Developer to mortgage and pledge its | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Mortgages | | interest in the easement | |
| Section 10 | | In the event of any legal action, each party is | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Attorney Fees | | responsible for its own attorney's fees | |
| Section 11 | | Developer is responsible for repairing | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Property Repair | | damage arising out of Developer's | |
| | | construction, maintenance, or repair | |
| | | activities within the easement air space | |
| Section 17 | | Confirms that legal actions must be initiated | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Venue | | in Duval County courts | |
| Section 19 | | Force majeure expanded; confirmed that a | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Force Majeure | | party must provide evidence to show any | |
| | | delay relating to current pandemic | |
| Sections 21-26 | | Boilerplate provisions added (time is of the | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Boilerplate | | essence, waivers, independent contractors, | |
| | | counterparts, no third-party beneficiaries, | |
| | | approval) | |

Perpetual Access and Use Easement Agreement

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised | Proposed by |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|
| | | Agreement | |
| Exhibits | Included exhibits to | Exhibits to show easement area and benefitted | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| | show Grantor's parcel | property added to clarify easement area and | |
| | (Exhibit A) and | replace existing Exhibit A and B. Exhibit C is | |
| | Grantee's parcel (Exhibit | added to include insurance requirements. | |
| | B) | | |
| Section 3 | Granted easement on, | Clarifies easement allows Grantee to i) | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Grant of Easement | over, and across the | construct, install, operate, maintain, improve, | |
| | Grantor's Parcel for the | remove, repair, and/or replace the | |
| | purpose of pedestrian | improvements within the Easement Area, ii) | |
| | ingress and egress onto | utilize sidewalks in the Easement Area for café | |
| | Grantee's Parcel, and | seating, iii) utilize the Easement Area for | |
| | for use by the public of | concerts and other events, kiosks, | |
| | Grantor's Parcel as | communications equipment, and iv) control | |
| | public open space | access area as needed to facilitate ticket sales | |
| | | and/or sale and consumption of alcoholic | |
| | | beverages. | |
| Section 4 | | Confirmed that Developer may make | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Additional | | improvements to the pedestrian walkway at its | |
| Improvements | | cost. | Recommendation: |
| | | | The City should be made aware of all |
| | | Any improvements in excess of \$750,000 | improvements to City-owned property |
| | | (increasing at an annual rate of 2%) will require | that require the City to capitalize the |
| | | advance notice from Developer to COJ. | asset. |
| | | | |
| | | Confirmed any such additional improvements | |
| | | will be at no cost to the City. | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Section | As-Filed Agreement | November 25, 2020 Revised Agreement | Proposed by |
|---|--------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Section 5 Maintenance of Improvements | | Maintenance, repair, and replacement costs are all Developer responsibility | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 6 Maintenance of Grantor Property | | If improvements cause damage to City property, damage will be repaired at Developer's sole cost and expense | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 7 Indemnification | | Express obligation by Developer to indemnify COJ for any losses relating to the use of the walkway, any negligence by the Developer, any breach by the Developer or construction of the walkway | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 8 Insurance | | Requires Developer to purchase insurance at its cost and expense for the sole benefit of COJ | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 9 Mortgages | | Permits Developer to mortgage and pledge its interest in the easement | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 10 Attorney Fees | | In the event of any legal action, each party is responsible for its own attorney's fees | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 11 Property Repair | | Developer is responsible for repairing damage arising out of Developer's construction, maintenance, or repair activities within the easement area | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 17 Venue | | Confirms that legal actions must be initiated in Duval County courts | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Section 19 Force Majeure | | Force majeure expanded; confirmed that a party must provide evidence to show any delay relating to current pandemic | Developer/Administration Amendment |
| Sections 12-15 & Sections 21-26 Boilerplate | | Boilerplate provisions added (incidental rights, running benefits and burdens, time is of the essence, waivers, independent contractors, counterparts, no third party beneficiaries, approval) | Developer/Administration Amendment |

Recommended Amendments to Bill 2020-648

- 1. Revise CIP project names in bill to agree with Exhibits 4 and 5 (CIP Project Information Sheets)
- 2. Revise project names in bill to agree with Exhibit 3 (Revised Budget Ordinance Schedule B4)
- Update square footage of restaurant and retail space and office space for Live! District
 Entertainment Venues, number of residential units, number of parking spaces, and number of
 hotel rooms to agree with revised Development Agreement
- 4. Update term of Live! Lease to agree with revised Lease Agreement
- 5. Add Lots C and D to agree with revised Parking Agreement
- 6. Include specific sections of Ch. 500 being waived
- 7. Include subsections 55.108 (23) and (24) within Sec. 55.108 waivers
- 8. Strike language invoking the exception to Sec. 126.07(g)
- 9. Correct title of Chapter 191, Ordinance Code
- 10. Strike language in bill title providing oversight by Sports and Entertainment Office
- 11. Update estimated cost of project from \$445,000,000 to \$450,300,000
- 12. Clarify language on page 7, line 5 regarding cost overruns
- 13. Clarify language on page 7, lines 8-11 regarding savings on the hotel and residential components
- 14. Correct account name in the Explanation of Appropriation from Sports and Entertainment Lot J Live! to Public Works Lot J Live!
- 15. Attach Revised Exhibit 2 to include executed BT and correct account information
- 16. Attach Revised Exhibit 5 CIP Information Sheet to correct department name and scriveners' error
- 17. Place revised agreements On File to reflect all changes adopted by Council
- 18. Include Council Auditor's Office in all audit rights provided to the City throughout all On File documents
- 19. Authorize technical changes and scrivener errors to be corrected in the bill and all On File documents